REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding non-final Office Action mailed June 15, 2007. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and pending claims are respectfully requested.

I. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 12-22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as being drawn to non-statutory subject matter.

In response, Applicant has canceled claims 12-22. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the rejections be withdrawn.

II. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 1-4, 8, 10-15, 19, and 21-23 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Kemp, et al.* ("Kemp," U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0078160).

As indicated above, remaining independent claim 1 has been amended through this Response. In view of that amendment, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejections are most as having been drawn against Applicant's claims in a previous form. Applicant therefore requests that the rejections be withdrawn.

Turning to the merits of claim 1, Applicant notes that Kemp at least does not disclose "receiving at the designer location from the print service provider location real time configuration information regarding a print production device at the print service provider location", creating at the designer location relative to the received configuration information a high performance file by "encapsulating a plurality of files associated with

a print job created at the designer location, the plurality of files including the digital file that represents the image to be printed and a file that includes print job processing instructions". First, Kemp is silent as to a designer location receiving "real time configuration information" regarding a "print production device" of a print service provider. Second, Kemp does not mention "encapsulating a plurality of files associated with a print job" including the digital file that represents the image to be printed and a file including print job processing instructions. Although Kemp discusses a print job and a job ticket, those files are separate in Kemp's disclosure.

III. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

A. Rejection of Claims 6 and 17

Claims 6 and 17 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kemp* as applied to claims 1 and 12, and in view of *Whitmarsh* (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0101608). Applicant respectfully traverses.

As identified above, Kemp does not teach aspects of Applicant's claim 1. In that Whitmarsh does not remedy the deficiencies of the Kemp reference, Applicant respectfully submits that remaining claim 6 is allowable over the Kemp/Whitmarsh combination for at least the same reasons that claim 1 is allowable over Kemp.

B. Rejection of Claims 5, 7, 9, 16, 18, and 20

Claims 5, 7, 9, 16, 18, and 20 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kemp*.

As identified above, Kemp does not teach aspects of Applicant's claim 1.

Applicant respectfully submits that remaining claims 5, 7, and 9 are allowable over the Kemp for at least the same reasons that claim 1 is allowable over Kemp.

IV. Canceled Claims

Claims 12-23 have been canceled from the application without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer. Applicant reserves the right to present these canceled claims, or variants thereof, in continuing applications to be filed subsequently.

V. New Claims

Claims 24-34 have been added into the application through this Response. Applicant respectfully submits that these new claims describe an invention novel and unobvious in view of the prior art of record and, therefore, respectfully requests that these claims be held to be allowable.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that Applicant's pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

David R. Risley

Registration No. 39,345